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1. OBJECTIVES

This report describes clinical experience with the SeeLens MF, Hanita Lenses’ novel multifocal lens for cataract patients. It is a post CE mark authorization, prospective, non-comparative study.

The clinical evaluation aims were:

- to evaluate visual acuity,
- Establish the A- constant of the IOL

This report summarizes the results of the 3 month investigation and the results of a 9 to 12 month follow up of 8 bilateral implanted eyes (4 patients) and 10 unilateral implanted eyes (10 patients) implanted with SeeLens MF.

The key safety and efficacy parameters are:

Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA)

2. EFFICACY AND SAFETY ASSESSMENTS

The efficacy and safety assessments were determined as defined by and according to the ISO 11979 directive. The following are the demands required by the directive:

1. Post Operative BCVA of at least 6/12 (20/40) within 88% of patients’ population. For the "best cases" patients, BCVA 6/12 (20/40) or better, for at least 94% of the patients. (Requirements defined by ISO 11979-7 2006 for a sample size of 100 patients).

2. IOL related Post Operative complication and Adverse Events equal to or less then the allowed rate defined by ISO 11979-7 2006.
3. MEDICAL DEVICE SPECIFICATION AND ADMINISTRATION

Seelens MF is a multifocal apodized diffractive aspheric, foldable, one piece lens.

The intraocular lens is a CE-marked medical device. Table 1 summarizes the lens specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SeeLens MF Specifications</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optic Diameter</strong></td>
<td>6.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power range</strong></td>
<td>+15 to +35 (15-30D in 0.5D increments, 30-35D in 1D increments)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Addition Power</strong></td>
<td>+3D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Optic design</strong></td>
<td>Apodized diffractive aspheric Multifocal IOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lens design</strong></td>
<td>360° Double square edge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Haptic angulation</strong></td>
<td>5°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Material</strong></td>
<td>Hydrophilic Acrylic 25% water content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light transmission</strong></td>
<td>UV blocker and violet light filter 2% transmission @400nm 90% transmission @460nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refractive index</strong></td>
<td>1.462 (35°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nd-YAG laser</strong></td>
<td>Compatible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Estimated A constant</strong></td>
<td>118.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Placement</strong></td>
<td>Capsular bag</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 1: SEELENS MF SPECIFICATIONS
An increasingly important goal of modern cataract and implant surgery is to obtain the most desirable outcome for the patients, thus contributing to spectacle-free vision and highest quality of life.

The ideal state of the human phakic eye without any refractive error is known as emmetropia; Rays of light perfectly focused from an infinitely distant object onto the fovea without accommodation\(^1\).

Refractive power of the eye is determined by three main parameters: power(s) of the cornea, power of the crystalline lens and axial length of the eye. Incompatibility between these parameters leads to various types of refractive errors known as myopia and hyperopia.

The natural crystalline lens has the ability to accommodate in order to maintain a clear image (focus) of an object whatever the distance from the eye. At about the age of 40, the lens becomes less flexible and accommodation is lost gradually\(^2\), making close-range activities increasingly difficult. This is called *presbyopia*. Once presbyopia has been diagnosed reading glasses or corrective contact lenses are necessary to maintain near vision.

With age, a normal crystalline lens opacifies (cataract) disabling the eye in generating a clear, well contrasted image. The only therapeutic solution to this problem is surgical replacement of the crystalline lens with an intraocular lens (cataract surgery).

New technologies in IOLs optic designs provide for better options for cataract patients to correct their visual deficits and to live their lives without visual aids.

The clinical demand for a solution to presbyopia is very high, as presbyopia afflicts the majority of the world’s adult population.

Implantation of a bifocal IOL, like SeeLens MF, with a bifocal aspheric refractive/diffractive structure, pupil size dependence and asymmetrical light distribution provides for a satisfactory

\(^{1}\) Diepes H. 2004, Refraktionsbestimmung

full range of vision, a high level of uncorrected and corrected distance, intermediate and near acuity and improved contrast sensitivity. Furthermore the SeeLens MF should allow for independence of spectacles, thus enhancing patients’ satisfaction.

The optical performance of bifocal IOLs restores near, distance and intermediate vision for high patient satisfaction. With such optical performances, patients may benefit from independence from visual aids.

The SeeLens MF is designed for micro-incision cataract surgery (MICS), through sub-2mm incisions.

In order to confirm these statements, a post-marketing study is initiated: Clinical experience on the implantation of the SeeLens MF IOL. The main purpose of this study is to evaluate visual acuity and contrast sensitivity of patients receiving the new bifocal IOL.

SeeLens MF is an apodized diffractive bifocal lens; so that the IOL is dependent on pupil size, different proportions of the light energy are directed to each focus of the lens. Figure 1 below shows the relative energy distribution at different pupil diameters.

**FIGURE 1** SEELENS MF HAS A FAR FOCUS, AND A NEAR FOCUS AT +3D ADDITION POWER.

The optic of the IOL is designed for the highest possible MTF in the well established Arizona eye model, which takes into account the negative spherical aberration required by the IOL in order to lower the positive spherical aberration of the human cornea.

The Hanita Lenses intraocular lens hydrophilic material has been in use at Hanita Lenses for more than 11 years and effectively verified its outstanding long-term behaviour in the market in
terms of biocompatibility, transparency and stability of the visual function and centration. A foldable and highly adaptable implant for all bag conformations, the SeeLens MF displays outstanding tensile strength for maximum resistance during insertion, and offer controlled unfolding for rapid visual recovery.

Surgical Procedure

The phacoemulsification procedure and the lens implantation was performed following the instructions of use from the device’s manufacturers, and surgeon’s technique.

The SeeLens MF has directionality; such that the leading haptic must point left. The lens is dialed clockwise.

4. METHODS

1. **Vision and refractive performance:**

   Refraction for distance was measured in order to assess the A constant of the IOL.

2. **Visual acuity** will be measured using an ETDRS chart for distance at room illumination.

   All results will be expressed in decimal (distance) or Jaeger (near) values.

3. **Objective investigator’s opinion** of the lens and the patient’s satisfaction.

2 centers were included in this evaluation, each one included total of 10 eyes of 10 patients.

A bilateral implantation was optional, as considered by the surgeon, as long as there were 10 patients included.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surgeon</th>
<th>Least number of eyes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Roberto Belluci, Italy.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof. Jan Novak, Czech Republic.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The study was started in December 2010.

5. STATISTICAL METHODS

The following analyses were used to describe the data in this report:

Descriptive statistics: continuous variables are described with mean ± standard deviation (SD), median, minimum and maximum. Nominal scale variables are described with absolute and relative (percents) frequencies. Ordinal variables are described with means ± SD and frequencies of the ordinal grades.

All analyses were done using Excel 2007 statistics tool package.

6. RESULTS (3 MONTHS)

Patients that were enrolled in the study were not consistently targeted for emmetropia and were not necessarily capable of achieving a flawless retinal image. This was because the purpose of this study was to evaluate the safety and the A-constant of the SeeLens MF.

6.1. POSTOPERATIVE BEST CORRECTED VISUAL ACUITY (BCVA)

Best Corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) was reported in the pre-operative and the three month follow-up visit. Pre-operative BCVA results are shown on Graph 2, and Post-operative BCVA results are shown on Graph 3.

Figure 2: Pre-operative BCVA distribution (Novak data, n=22)
Pre op data was unavailable from Prof. Belluci.

Two patients had macular problems (0.2 and 0.6 BCVA).

As shown in graph 3, postoperative (3 months) BCVA of 6/9 or better was reported in 86% of the eyes.

BCVA of 6/12 or better was achieved by 96.5% of the eyes (n=29) after 3 months.
Dr. Paparo measured distance vision in 4 bilateral patients (8 eyes)

![UCDVA Graph]

**FIGURE 4 PAPARO RESULTS**

### 6.2. EVALUATION OF A-CONST

Postoperative refractive deviation, calculated by Spherical Equivalent (SE), was reported in the follow-up visits, and optimized with the Novak and Belluci data. The results have shown that the A-const should be updated to 118.6.

### 6.3. INTERMEDIATE VISUAL ACUITY

Visual acuity was measured at 80cm, after 3 months (Novak). The average uncorrected intermediate visual acuity (UIVA) was 0.815.
FIGURE 5 NOVAK RESULTS

The full range of near (40cm), intermediate (63cm and 100cm) was measured by Dr. Paparo. This was performed using Colenbrander mixed contrast visual acuity charts (ETDRS), which have optotypes matched for the true distance from the patient's eyes. This is different from a defocus curve which uses only the usual distance ETDRS optotypes.

FIGURE 6 PAPARO RESULTS

The following chart (figure 7) shows the logMAR visual acuity at different distances, using ETDRS charts with suitable optotypes for each distance (40cm, 63cm, 100cm, and distance vision).
FIGURE 7 – PAPARO RESULTS

Below is the poster presented by Dr. Paparo at the PAAO 2011 conference.

![Visual acuity at functional distances](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>UCVA</th>
<th>BCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40cm</td>
<td>-0.1125</td>
<td>-0.2625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63cm</td>
<td>0.1625</td>
<td>0.1375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100cm</td>
<td>0.2375</td>
<td>0.2125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DVA</td>
<td>0.075</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Lente Intraocular Multifocal Asferico Difractivo Apodizado SeeLens MF
Centro Médico Imbanaco Call, Colombia. Luis Guillermo Páparo MD y Layla Rojas OD

Propósito
Evaluar la calidad visual y satisfacción del paciente obtenida después de la implantación del Lente Intraocular (LIO) SeeLens MF a través de microincisión (MICS <2.0mm); el primer lente multifocal acrílico hidrofilico apodizado difractivo asférico, con dependencia del tamaño pupilar y distribución asimétrica de la luz.

Métodos
Se implantó el LIO por microincisión, en 10 ojos de 5 pacientes, como parte de un estudio multicéntrico prospectivo. Se evaluó la profundidad de foco, la agudeza visual lejana, intermedia (63-100 cms) y cercana (40 cms) corregida y no corregida, utilizando las cartillas ETDRS. Se midió la sensibilidad al contraste con el equipo Optec 6500 FACTS, (Stereo Optics). Además se evaluó la aberrometría ocular y la satisfacción del paciente (VF-14 questionnaire).

Resultados POP 1 mes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paciente</th>
<th>R. Objetivo</th>
<th>R. Subjetivo</th>
<th>UCVA</th>
<th>DVA 40cm</th>
<th>DVA 60cm</th>
<th>DVA 100cm</th>
<th>BDCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resultados POP 3 mes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Paciente</th>
<th>R. Objetivo</th>
<th>R. Subjetivo</th>
<th>UCVA</th>
<th>DVA 40cm</th>
<th>DVA 60cm</th>
<th>DVA 100cm</th>
<th>BDCVA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>01 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05 OCI</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.06</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Conclusiones
Se obtuvo excelente calidad visual tanto para lejos, intermedio como para cerca.
El efecto secundario de halos no es representativo de deterioro en calidad visual hasta el momento. Se debe esperar valoración de resultados a mediano y largo plazo.

Bibliografía
   KO. Clinical outcomes and functional visual performance: comparison of the RESELECT apodized diffractive intraocular lens to a monofocal control.
   Br J Ophthalmol 2001; 85: 1215-1219
6.4. NEAR VISUAL ACUITY

Visual acuity was measured for near vision, after 3 months (Novak). Binocular near visual acuity was J1.5.

**FIGURE 8 - NOVAK RESULTS**

**FIGURE 9 PAPARO RESULTS** (VALUES IN DECIMAL NOTATION BECAUSE THE RESULTS ARE OFF THE JAEGER NUMBER SCALE)
7. RESULTS (9 TO 12 MONTHS)

Patients that were enrolled in the study were not consistently targeted for emmetropia and were not necessarily capable of achieving a flawless retinal image. This was because the purpose of this study was to evaluate the safety and the A-constant of the SeeLens MF.

The following graphs show the distribution of monocular (eyes) and binocular (patients) visual acuity. The results are presented in decimal values and Jaeger numbers. All data for these follow up visits from Prof. Novak.

1 patient (Novak) with J6 had ARMD.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bilateral patients (n=4, 8 eyes)</th>
<th>Far UCVA binocular</th>
<th>Far BCVA monocular with MF IOL</th>
<th>DCNVA Jaeger No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unilateral patients (n=10, 10 eyes)</th>
<th>Far UCVA binocular</th>
<th>Far BCVA monocular with MF IOL</th>
<th>DCNVA Jaeger No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>average</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>std</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Photopic contrast sensitivity

![Photopic contrast sensitivity graph]

**FIGURE 10 - NOVAK RESULTS**

**Results of competitor lenses (bilateral only) taken from:**


[3] Optical analysis, reading performance, and quality-of-life evaluation after implantation of a diffractive multifocal intraocular lens. Jorge L. Alió, MD, PhD, Ana B. Plaza-Puche, MSc, David P. Piñero, PhD, Francisco Amparo, MD, Ramón Jiménez, MSc, Jose L. Rodríguez-Prats, MD, Jaime Javaloy, MD, Vanessa Pongo, MD; J Cataract Refract Surg 2011; 37:27–37
8. INTRA-OPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS

No intra-operative complications were reported. No IOL-related adverse events were observed. Two patients had macular problems prior to surgery. One patient suffered from amblyopia prior to surgery.

9. POSTOPERATIVE COMPLICATIONS

No evidence of post operative infection or excessive inflammation was reported in any of the patients. Patients were asked as to perception of halos, glare and their subjective feeling about their vision. The grading of visual phenomena was 0 for no halos or glare, 1 for existence of tolerable or non-severe halos and/or glare, and 2 for problems with halos or glare.
Most patients reported some halos and a few reported glare. One eye of one patient out of 12 eyes had problems with visual phenomena. All patients asked expressed satisfaction with their vision, 6/8 (75%) patients asked felt their subjective vision was “excellent”.

The SeeLens MF IOL position as seen through a slit lamp is presented in Image 1.

**IMAGE 3: THE SEELENS MF IOL AS SEEN THROUGH A SLIT LAMP: 6 DAYS POST OPERATIVELY (NOVAK)**
Image 3 shows that the SeeLens MF is well centered. A typical clear cornea and healthy conjunctiva can be observed, as was seen in all SeeLens MF implants 3 months & 1 month postoperatively. Implantation was performed by Dr. J. Novak, Regional Hospital, Pardubice, Czech Republic.

10. ADVERSE EVENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adverse Event</th>
<th>SPE rate(^3) (%)</th>
<th>Number of subjects = 100</th>
<th>Maximal allowable rate (%)</th>
<th>Max. number of cases allowed</th>
<th>Rate of adverse effect occurred at this study</th>
<th>Number of adverse events cases</th>
<th>Pass / Fail</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cystoid macular oedema</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0 %</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypopyon</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endophthalmitis(^4)</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lens dislocation from posterior chamber</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pupillary block</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal detachment</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Pass</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^3\) SPE (safety and performance endpoint) rate is the target rate for each event.

\(^4\) Endophthalmitis is defined as inflammatory reaction (sterile or infectious) involving the vitreous body.
As shown in table 7, 100% of 37 implanted eyes were not reported with any adverse events. Thus, it can be concluded that safety of SeeLens MF is in accordance to the ISO 11979-7 2006.

### 11. CONCLUSIONS:

#### 3 months results

The detailed data from the current study on 37 eyes shows the following benefits of the SeeLens MF IOL:

- Optical performance is in accordance to ISO 11979-7 2006 requirements.

- The IOL A constant using IOL MASTER and SRK/T is set at 118.6.

- Very good safety profile as reflected by a very low rate of intra- and post-operative complications at 3 months.

---

5 Excludes posterior capsulotomies.
• Dr. Paparo patients were enrolled according to the Phase 2 recommended patient selection criteria, and following the optimization of the A constant. This resulted in excellent uncorrected visual acuity and emmetropic refractive predictability, an excellent defocus curve and high patient satisfaction.

9-12 months results

• As the A constant was not optimized at the time of the first implantations, correction was necessary in order to evaluate near vision. When corrected for distance all patients implanted bilaterally achieved J1.

• Binocular uncorrected vision for distance was excellent (0.9±0.12) for bilaterally implanted patients.

• Contrast sensitivity in photopic conditions (bilateral patients only) was comparable to other multifocal IOLs.